BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
3 1761 06096545 6

THE CANADIAN LEGAL SYSTEM
INSTITUTIONS, VALUES AND PROCESS

Volume I

B.J. Reiter
and
M.A. Chandler

LAW LIBRARY

JAN 21 1980

FACULTY OF LAW
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

THE CANADIAN LEGAL SYSTEM
INSTITUTIONS, VALUES AND PROCESS

Volume I

B.J. Reiter
and
M.A. Chandler

1979-1980
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/canadianlegalsys01reit

THE CANADIAN LEGAL SYSTEM: INSTITUTIONS, VALUES AND PROCESS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
CHAPTER I:	INTRODUCTION TO LEGAL INSTITUTIONS AND INSTITUTIONAL ANALYSIS	1
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
	Preface to Hart & Sacks, The Legal Process	1
	Simeon, Studying Public Policy	4
	Note	10
	Fuller, Irrigation and Tyranny	11
II.	INTRODUCTORY NOTE ON THE PRINCIPLE OF INSTITUTIONAL SETTLEMENT	30
1.	The Basic Conditions of Human Existence	30
2.	The Recognition of a Community of Interest by Groups of Human Beings	30
3.	The Institutionalization of Procedures for the Settlement of Questions of Group Concern	31
4.	The Principle Implicit in the Procedure	32
5.	The Interplay of Private and Official Procedures of Decision	35
III.	AN INTRODUCTORY PROBLEM	38
	Problem No. 1: The Significance of an Institutional System: The Case of the Spoiled Cantaloupes	39
	Background Notes on Fresh Fruits and Vegetables	41
1.	The Trade in Fresh Fruits and Vegetables	41
2.	What Prior Settlements Said to Martinelli	45
A.	Martinelli's Own Agreement	46
B.	"U.S. Grade No. 1"	46
C.	"Rolling Acceptance Final"	50
D.	The Background of State Law	54
E.	The Question of Locating the Applicable State Law	56
F.	The Question of the Existence of a Binding Private Settlement	59
G.	The Effect of the Agreement, if it was valid, Under the Uniform Sales Act	61

		Page
	H. What the Act of Congress Said to Martinelli	67
	(i) The crucial provisions	67
	(ii) The background of the statute	68
	I. The Abortive Voluntary Plan of 1925	68
	J. The Produce Agency Act of 1927	69
	K. The Legislative History of the 1930 Act	70
	L. What Prior Settlements by the Secretary of Agriculture Said to Martinelli	75
	(i) The regulations defining trade terms	76
	(ii) The administrative decisions on the effect of a wrongful rejection	76
	M. Trade Practice and Understanding	78
3.	Martinelli's Decision	79
4.	The Official Decisions	81
	A. The Problem in the Department of Agriculture	81
	L. Gillarde Co. v. Joseph Martinelli & Co. Inc.	82
	B. The Problem in the United States District Court	85
	Joseph Martinelli & Co. v. L. Gillarde Co.	86
	C. The Problem in the United States Court of Appeals	88
	L. Gillarde Co. v. Joseph Martinelli & Co. Inc.	89
	L. Gillarde Co. v. Joseph Martinelli & Co. Inc.	93
	D. The Problem in the Supreme Court of the United States	94
5.	Some Notes and Queries	96
	A. The Problem Through Martinelli's Eyes	96
	B. The Problem Through the Secretary's Eyes	96
	C. The Problem Through the Courts' Eyes	99
	D. Some Cognate Problems in the Courts	100
	E. The Problem Through the Eyes of Congress	103
	F. The Problem from an Olympian Point of View	103
IV.	NOTE ON THE NATURE OF INSTITUTIONAL DECISIONS	105
1.	The Ultimate Objectives of the Decisions	105
2.	The Question of the Nature of Knowledge About Institutional Decisions	111

		Page
3.	A Preliminary View	115
4.	A Retrospective Query	118
V.	NOTE ON THE NATURE AND SIGNIFICANCE OF GENERAL DIRECTIVE ARRANGEMENTS	119
1.	Some Points of Basic Analysis	119
	A. The Elements of General Directive Arrangements	119
	B. Law and Language	120
	C. The Kinds of People to Whome Directions are Addressed: Herein of Privately Addressed and Officially Addressed Arrangements	124
	D. The Formulation, Elaboration and Application of General Directions	126
	E. The Technique of Self-Applying Regulation	127
	F. Primary Law and Remedial Law	131
	G. Enacted Law and Decisional Law	133
2.	The Degree of Specificity of Directions: Herein of Rules and Standards and of Principles and Policies	137
	A. Rules and Standards	137
	B. Principles and Policies	140
3.	The Processes of Official Judgment Involved in the Administration of General Directive Arrangements	142
	A. The Process of Reasoned Elaboration of Purportedly Determinate Directions	144
	B. The Reasoned Elaboration of Avowedly Indeterminate Directions	150
	C. Powers of Continuing Discretion	153
VI.	PRELIMINARY NOTE ON THE MAJOR LAW MAKING INSTITUTIONS IN THE AMERICAN SYSTEM AND THE DYNAMICS OF THEIR INTERRELATIONSHIPS	161
1.	Some General Considerations	162
2.	The Interrelationships of the Major Law Making Institutions in a Unitary System	165
	A. The Private Law Makers	165
	B. The Role of the Courts in the Development of the Underlying Decisional Law	167
	C. The Legislature	168
	D. Administrative Agencies	170
	E. The Role of the Courts in the Interpretation and Enforcement of the Constitution and of Statutes and Administrative Determinations	170
3.	Local Institutions	171

		Page
VII.	NOTE ON THE LAWYER'S FUNCTION, AND THE RELATION OF LAW TO THE OTHER SOCIAL SCIENCES	173
1.	Introductory	173
2.	Arrangement-Framing	175
3.	Arrangement-Applying	178
VIII.	FULLER, THE MORALITY OF LAW	182
CHAPTER II:	THE ROLE OF PRIVATE ORDERING AND SOME OF ITS PROBLEMS	217
I.	INTRODUCTION	217
	Cavers, Legal Education and Lawyer-Made Law	220
	MacNeil, Cases and Materials on Contracts: Exchange Transactions and Relationships	222
	Havighurst, The Nature of Private Contract	227
	Macaulay, The Use and Non-use of Contracts in the Manufacturing Industry	229
	Llewellyn, What Price Contract? - An Essay in Perspective	233
II.	PROBLEM NO. 2	235
	Postcript on the Percentage Lease Problem with a Notation on the Problems of Law Making Generally	252
1.	The Lawyer as Counsel to the Situation	252
2.	The Line Between Law and Non-Law at the Grass Roots	252
3.	The Judicial Function of the Interpretation of Private Arrangements	253
4.	The Possibilities of Public Rent Control	253
5.	A notation on the Problems of Law Making Generally	255
6.	Rubin, A Causerie in Lawyers' Ethics in Negotiation	256
7.	Question	262
8.	Llewellyn, What Price Contract? - An Essay in Perspective	269
III.	PROBLEM NO. 3	270
	Background Notes on the Liability of Common Carriers for Lost or Damaged Goods	271

Page

1. The Situation Confronting Railroads in the Province of Canada as of about 1860 271

A. Liability in the Absence of Special Agreement 272
B. The Carrier's Power to Vary Its Liability by Special Agreement 274
C. The Significance of Insurance 277

2. The Role of the Upper Canada Courts in Reviewing Private Law Making 280

A. The Hamilton Case and Following 280

Hamilton v. The Grand Trunk Railway Co. of Canada 280
Railwood Company v. Lockwood 284

3. The Problem in the Legislatures 299

General Order T-5 (Board of Transport Commissioners for Canada) (1965) 303

4. The Problem as Presented to the Congress of the United States Around the Turn of the Century 306

A. The Impetus for Federal Legislation Concerning Railroads 306
B. The Impetus for Federal Legislation Concerning Railroad Liability 307

5. Some Notes and Queries 310

6. Reiter, Unconscionability: A Reply to Professor Reuben Hasson 312

Question 317

7. Note on Private Lawmaking in invitum 318

8. Note on the Units of Decision-Making in Private Ordering 319

9. Note: Legal Remedies and Private Ordering 322

10. The Great Pyramid of Legal Order 323

CHAPTER III: THE COURTS AS PLACES OF INITIAL RESORT FOR SOLVING PROBLEMS WHICH FAIL AT PRIVATE SOLUTION 325

I. PREFATORY NOTE: THE RELATION BETWEEN LAW AND FACT 328

		Page
II.	PROBLEM NO. 4: LAW, FACT, AND DISCRETION IN THE APPLICATION OF LAW	328
	Commonwealth v. Wright	329
	Commonwealth v. Sullivan	330
	Background Notes on the Distinction Between Law and Fact	332
1.	The Utility of Efforts to Distinguish "Law" and "Fact"	332
2.	The Three-fold Nature of the Decisional Process	333
3.	The Significance of the Suggested Analysis	334
	A. In General	334
	B. Judge and Jury	336
	C. Judge Without a Jury	339
	D. Trial Court and Reviewing Court	341
4.	The Relation Between Declaring Law and Identifying Facts	342
5.	Adjudicative and Legislative Facts	344
6.	Question	345
	R. v. Prairie Schooner News Ltd. et al.	346
	R. v. Odeon Morton Theatres Ltd. and United Artists Corp.	354
CHAPTER IV:	THE REASON FOR BEING OF JUDICIALLY DECLARED LAW	364
I.	PROBLEM NO. 5: DECISION WITHOUT CLOSELY RELEVANT PRECEDENT: THE CASE OF THE BURNT CANDLES	364
	Norway Plains Co. v. Boston & Maine R.R.	364
	Background Notes on the Termination of the Extraordinary Liability of a Common Carrier by Railroad	373
1.	The Norway Plains Case and the Law-Declaring Function of the Court	373
	A. The Question of the Common Carrier Status	373
	B. The Preliminary Questions of the Obligation to Decide and the Obligation to Generalize the Grounds of Decision	374
	(i) The possibility of no-law	374
	(ii) The possibility of no generalized ground of decision	375
	(iii) A proposed conclusion	375

Page

C. The Possibility of Exercising a Legislative Discretion About the Merits of the Rule of Common Carrier Liability 375
D. The Result Required by a Reasoned Elaboration of Existing Arrangements 376
E. The Question of Termination of Carrier Liability 376

(i) The Wagon Rule 376
(ii) The Unloading Rule 377

F. The Subsequent Development of State Law 378

(i) The Aftermath in the State Courts 378
(ii) An Effort at Correction by a State Legislature 379

G. Final Resolution of the Problem by a Federal Administrative Agency 382

(i) The Interstate Commerce Commission's Action 382
(ii) Some Notes and Queries 383

H. More Basic Issues 385

(i) Fuller, The Forms and Limits of Adjudication 385
(ii) Kennedy, Form and Substance in Private Law Adjudication 420

II. PROBLEM NO. 6: THE NEED FOR THE REASONED DISTINCTION OF PRECEDENT: THE CASE OF THE FAITHLESS FIDUCIARY 447

Berenson v. Nirenstein 447
Background Notes on the Berenson Problem 450

A. The Spritz Line of Decisions 450
B. The Salter Line of Decisions 451
C. The Question Whether the Decisions were in Conflict 456
D. The Question of How to Resolve the Conflict 460
E. The Question of Judicial Craftsmanship 460

CHAPTER V: THE STARTING POINTS OF JUDICIAL REASONING 462

1. The Bearing of Custom 462

Problem No. 7: Customary Standards of Conduct as a Measure of Tort Duties: The Case of the Unseaworthy Tugs 462
The T.J. Hooper 462
Background Notes on the Hooper Problem 465

	Page
A. The Requirement of Seaworthiness	465
B. The Nature of the Requirement of Seaworthiness	466
C. The State of the Art and the Practice of the Industry	467
D. The Question of Prevailing Practice as Decisive of Minimum Obligation	468
E. The Question of Prevailing Practice as Decisive of Maximum Obligation	469
F. The Bearing of the Act of Congress	471
Problem No. 8: Custom in the Interpretation of Contracts: The Case of the Bankers Accustomed to Doing What They Pleased	473
Dixon, Irmaos & Cia Ltda. v. Chase Nat. Bank	474
Background Notes on the Dixon, Irmaos Problem	477
A. A Criticism	477
B. A Defence	479
C. Some Notes and Queries	479
Note on Custom as a Source of Judicially-Declared Law	481
A. The Filling-in Function of Custom	481
B. Custom as a Premise of Judicial Reasoning	484
C. Custom as a Basis of Local, Territorial Exception from Established General Law	484
D. The Common Law as Customary Law	485
E. Custom as Distinguished from General Community Understanding and Fair Expectation	487
(i) The historical school of jurisprudence	487
(ii) Excerpts from Carter	488
2. The Materials of Judicial Reasoning When Custom Fails	494
Problem No. 9: The Search for Policy: Primary Policy	494
Fleming v. Atkinson	494
Kungl v. Schiefer	504
Hart & Sacks, The Legal Process	511
Roberson v. Rochester Folding Box Co.	515
Background Notes on Judicial Enforcement of Primary Obligations Not Previously Recognized as Enforceable Legal Obligations	527
A. The Right to Privacy	527
(i) The basis for a judicial determination of the merits	527
(a) The Warren-Brandeis Article	527
(ii) Some further notes and queries	530

Page

(iii) The course of decision in other states 530

B. The Legislature as a Preferable Forum: A Preliminary Look 531

(i) A moot brief 531

C. The Experience Under the New York Statute 532
D. Janisch, "Do We Need a New Tort of Privacy?" 535
E. Malone v. Metropolitan Police Commissioner 550
F. The Standard Oil Case: Herein of the Myth of an All-competent and Indefatigable Congress 561

(i) The decision 561
(ii) Some rather sharp comments 563

CHAPTER VI: THE MEANING AND FUNCTIONS OF ADHERENCE TO PRECEDENT: REQUIREMENTS AND MODES OF DEPARTURE FROM PRECEDENT 567

Llewellyn, The Bramble Bush 567
Pound, Cincinnati Conference on the Status of the Rule of Judicial Precedent 571

I. PROBLEM NO. 10: THE JUSTIFICATION FOR RETROSPECTIVE OVERRULING: THE CASE OF THE BASHFUL PRINCIPAL 576

Crowley v. Lewis 576
Background Notes on Retrospective Overruling 577

1. The Crowley Case 577

A. Some Contemporary Views of the Problem 577
B. Some Notes and Queries 581
C. The Aftermath in the Legislature 582

2. The Election Outrage 583

A. The Georgi Decision 583
B. The Legislature's Action 584
C. The Position of the Restatement 586

II. AN AMERICAN IMAGE OF THE HOUSE OF LORDS: HEREIN OF STARE DECISIS IN RELATION TO REMEDIAL RULES 586

III. A PROBLEM OF RETROSPECTIVE OVERRULING IN THE SPHERE OF PRIMARY LAW: THE IMMUNITY OF CHARITABLE ORGANIZATIONS FROM LIABILITY FOR THE TORTS OF THEIR EMPLOYEES 587

IV. A GLANCE AT STARE DECISIS AND PROPERTY RIGHTS 590

V. NOTE ON THE REASONS SUPPORTING A GENERAL PRACTICE OF ADHERENCE TO PRIOR HOLDINGS 593

		Page
1.	A Tentative Formulation of the Bases of the Doctrine of Stare Decisis	593
	A. In Furtherance of Private Ordering	593
	B. In Furtherance of Fair and Efficient Adjudication	593
	C. In Furtherance of Public Confidence in the Judiciary	594
2.	A Recapitulation	594
2.	A Glimpse of the Practice in the Civil Law	596
4.	A Glimpse of the Practice of the House of Lords	598
	Practice Statement (Judicial Precedent)	602
5.	Miliangos v. George Frank Textiles Ltd.	603
6.	Lim v. Camden Heath Authority	613
VI.	PROBLEM NO. 11: THE JUSTIFICATION FOR PROSPECTIVE OVERRULING: THE CASE OF THE BASHFUL PRINCIPAL AGAIN	627
1.	Background Notes on Prospective Overruling	628
2.	The Practice in State Courts	628
	A. The Protection of Those Relying on Prior Interpretation of Criminal Statutes	628
	B. Prospective Overruling in Private Civil Litigation	631
3.	Weiler, Legal Values and Judicial Decision-Making	635
4.		
4.	A.V.G. Management Science Ltd. v. Barwell Developments Ltd.	639
CHAPTER VII:	THE PROBLEMS APPROPRIATE FOR ADJUDICATION	650
1.	Note on the Problems Appropriate for Adjudication	650
	A. Some Basic Elements of the Concept of Adjudication	652
	B. Reasoned Decision as Integral in the Concept of Adjudication	653
	C. The Kinds of Disputes Which Lend Themselves to Reasoned Decision	656
2.	Edward P. Belobaba, The Resolution of Common Law Contract Doctrinal Problems Through Legislative and Administrative Intervention	658